Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/980,226	MATSUMOTO, WATARU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
Participants: Status of Application: Response to Non-Final		
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chad Billings</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2004	Time: <u>9am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed:  N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENIA A telephone call was made to Chad Billings on 27 September 2 but did not result in an election being made.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a vertecord of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		-
(Examiner/SE) E Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)